

# Capital Structure: With **Corporate** Income Taxes

(Welch, Chapter 18-1)

Ivo Welch

Fri Jun 24 00:01:23 2022

# M&M Insight I

- ▶ Does M&M (Modigliani-Miller) teach us that even in a PCM, capital structure does not matter?

# M&M Insight II

- ▶ Does M&M teach us that managers in a PCM do not care about capital structure?

# M&M Insight III

- ▶ Does M&M teach us that capital structure in the real world does not have value consequences?

# M&M Insight IV

- ▶ Why study capital structure if it makes no difference?

# What Matters?

*WTH?*

- ▶ If even capital structure does not matter, does anything matter?
- ▶ Next, you will tell us that even price-earnings ratios do not matter?!

# Who Owns The Firm?

- ▶ Do debt and equity together really own the entire firm?

# Do Corporations Pay Taxes?

- ▶ Do corporations pay taxes?



# Who Pays Taxes?

- ▶ Does your house pay taxes?
- ▶ But here, we have different house owners!

# Before or After-Tax Income?

- ▶ Does any one specific investor care about before-tax or after-tax income?
- ▶ Think \$200 in income taxed at 50%, vs
- ▶ \$100 in income taxed at 0%.

# Symmetric Insight I

- ▶ Which form of financing is preferable, if debt and equity are treated symmetrically? I.e.,
  - ▶ corporate payments to creditors and shareholders are deducted from profits (before calculating corporate income taxes), and
  - ▶ shareholders and creditors pay equal taxes on receipts.

# Asymmetric Insight II

- ▶ Which form of financing is preferable, if debt and equity are **not** treated symmetrically? I.e.,
  - ▶ corporate payments to creditors but **not to shareholders** can be deducted from profits, and
  - ▶ shareholders and creditors pay equal taxes on receipts.

# Tax Code

- ▶ Recall **Imperfect Capital Markets Chapter 11**:
  - ▶ Taxes and the tax code change often.
- ▶ Taxes are different across types of income
  - ▶ Ordinary W-2 Labor Income (high),
  - ▶ Interest Income (high),
  - ▶ Dividend Payments (medium),
  - ▶ Capital Gains (low).
- ▶ Applies also (mostly) to corporations.

# Investor Heterogeneity

- ▶ Endowments of churches, **charities**, and many not-for-profits are tax-exempt.
  - ▶ **Mormon Church, United Way, Harvard University.**
- ▶ Your 401-K is (partly) tax-exempt (tax-delayed).
- ▶ Foreign holders are mostly US tax-exempt.
  - ▶ Saudi royal family; Chinese princelings, Russian oligarchs, Foreign Dictators, Complex foreign vehicles by US corps and billionaires.

# Corporate Heterogeneity

- ▶ Some firms with NOLs may have almost no corporate income tax obligations,
  - ▶ but this is relatively rare.
- ▶ Some firms enjoy preferred income-tax and other treatment,
  - ▶ because Congress often passes new corporate exemptions and shelters, some targeted at single companies!
- ▶ Many large **Tech** companies either pay zero or top rate.

# Do Taxes Favor Infinite Debt?

- ▶ Cliff-hanger—this will be covered later.
- ▶ For now, assume there are none.
- ▶ In real life:
  - ▶ The IRS may not play along.
  - ▶ Financial distress costs may increase.
  - ▶ Other debt advantages and disadvantages may appear (e.g. ex-post expropriation, under-investment, free cash flow discipline).
  - ▶ See [Chapter 19](#).



# Tax Forces: One Among Others

- ▶ In private firms, with too much debt, the equity holder may be poorly diversified and dislike owning only equity.
- ▶ The lower personal capital gains on equity sheltering may take effort and costs:
  - ▶ May not always be shelterable to inheritance.
  - ▶ There are also special capital-gains tax rules for controlling and foreign equity.
- ▶ “Good model sketch,” but not perfectly accurate.

# Thought Experiment: Own Both

- ▶ For now, think of yourself as *both* the full debt *and* the full equity holder.
  - ▶ this makes understanding concepts easier, and
  - ▶ is kosher if debt can be issued at fair price.
  - ▶ “Near-Perfect” *except* for corporate income tax.
- ▶ Assume **zero** *personal* income tax
- ▶ Worry only about *corporate* income tax.

# Hypothetical Firm I

- ▶ Investment Cost: \$200
- ▶ Operating Income (before tax): \$80
- ▶ Interest: \$0
- ▶ Income before tax: +\$80
- ▶ Corporate Income Taxes To Pay (Paid) at 30%:
- ▶ Note: Return next year will be \$280
- ▶ Work out Corporate Income, Post-Tax:

# Hypothetical Firm II

- ▶ How much will you vs Uncle Sam, respectively, receive from the corporation **next year**?

# Your Take of PV I

- ▶ As the holder of all debt and equity
- ▶ if the firm issued bonds worth \$139.16 today at an interest rate of 9% (which comes to  $r_D \cdot D_0 = 9\% \cdot \$139.16 \approx \$12.52$  interest payments next year), then
  - ▶ What will be your tax payments?
  - ▶ What will be your receipts?
  - ▶ What is the PV to you (at 12%)?

# Your Take of PV II

- ▶ Investment Cost: \$200
- ▶ Operating Income (before tax): \$80
- ▶ Interest: \$12.52
- ▶ Income before tax:
- ▶ Corporate Income Taxes To Pay (Paid) at 30%:
- ▶ Work out Corporate Income, Post-Tax:
- ▶ Work out PV:

# Check: Debt-to-Value Ratio

- ▶ *Check:* What is the debt-to-value ratio?

# Flow-To-Equity: PV and Taxes

- ▶ What is the difference between corporate income taxes in the two scenarios?
- ▶ What is the difference between your net receipts in the two scenarios?
- ▶ What is the PV of this difference?



# Flow-To-Equity: Method

- ▶ You build the complete pro-forma, and you subtract out interest before you calculate corporate income taxes.
- ▶ Of course, you will need to estimate the appropriate CoC when changing debt.
- ▶ Method is a little misnamed. Could instead be called **Flow-To-Debt-and-Equity** or **Pro-Forma Method**.

# Debt-to-Value Ratio

- ▶ Compared to 100% equity financing ( $V = \$256/1.12$ ), how much tax-shelter are you getting from a debt/value ratio of 60%?
- ▶ What if you take time-discounting into account?

# Value of Tax Shelter

- ▶ If you have created only the set of financials without debt, then how can you assess the PV of the tax shelter by formula?

# Refinanced Value

- ▶ If you start with the *as-if-100%-equity-financed-and-fully-taxed* cash flows of \$228.57 today (and contemplated a leverage restructuring),
- ▶ then what (APV) formula would you use to compute the value if you go to a 60/40 debt-capital refinanced value?

# APV First Base Term

- ▶ In APV, what exactly is the first-term cash flow that is then adjusted up?
- ▶ Is it the *current as-is* capital-structure cash flow?

# Nerd: Tax Shield CoC

- ▶ Why does the tax shield have a CoC of  $R_{FM}$ ?
  - ▶ Because we punted on a variety of issues (such as promised vs expected rates),
  - ▶ This CoC is a mistake but one of second-order.
    - ▶ Importantly, not on CoC on the entire firm, but on just on a small part of firm value (tax deduction aspect).
  
- ▶ Nerds can read more details in the textbook.

# WACC (with Taxes) vs APV

- ▶ Like APV, WACC starts with the *fully-taxed as-if-100%-equity-financed* value of the firm.
- ▶ But whereas APV adds back the tax shelter,
- ▶ WACC instead reduces the effective CoC.
  - ▶ WACC is more convenient for a firm with a constant **ratio** of debt over time.
  - ▶ APV is more convenient for a firm with a constant **amount** of debt over time.

# WACC Derivation from APV

$$\begin{aligned} APV &= PV = \\ &= \frac{\$256}{(1 + 12\%)} + \frac{\overbrace{30\% \cdot (9\% \cdot \$139.156)}^{\$3.7572}}{\overbrace{(1 + 12\%)}^{\$12.52}} = \$231.92. \end{aligned}$$

$$PV = \frac{E(CF)}{[1 + E(R_{FM})]} + \frac{\tau \cdot (E(R_{DT}) \cdot DT)}{[1 + E(R_{FM})]}.$$



# Multiply by $1+ER_{FM}$

$$(1 + 12\%) \cdot \$231.92 = \$256 + 30\% \cdot (9\% \cdot \$139.156)$$

$$[1 + E(R_{FM})] \cdot PV = E(CF) + \tau \cdot E(R_{DT}) \cdot DT.$$

## Move Tax Term To The LHS

$$(1 + 12\%) \cdot \$231.92 - 30\% \cdot (9\% \cdot \$139.156) = \$256.$$

$$[1 + E(R_{FM})] \cdot PV - \tau \cdot E(R_{DT}) \cdot DT = E(CF).$$

## Pull out PV (Divide by it)

$$\{1 + 12\% - 30\% \cdot 9\% \cdot (\$139.156/\$231.92)\} \cdot \$231.92 = \$256.$$

$$\{ [1 + E(R_{FM})] - \tau \cdot E(R_{DT}) \cdot (DT/PV) \} \cdot PV = E(CF).$$

- ▶ Note:  $30\% \cdot 9\% \cdot (\$139.156/\$231.92)$ , which is  
 $= 30\% \cdot 9\% \cdot 60\% = 1.62\%$ .

## What is DT/PV?

$$DT/PV = \$139.156/\$231.92 = 60\%.$$

$$[1 + 12\% - 30\% \cdot 9\% \cdot 60\%] \cdot \$231.92 = \$256$$

$$[1 + E(R_{FM}) - \tau \cdot E(R_{DT}) \cdot (w_{DT})] \cdot PV = E(CF)$$

## Move Long CoC Factor to RHS

$$\$231.92 = \frac{\$256}{[1 + 12\% - 30\% \cdot 9\% \cdot (60\%) ]}$$

$$PV = \frac{E(CF)}{[1 + E(R_{FM}) - \tau \cdot E(R_{DT}) \cdot (w_{DT})]}$$

- ▶  $\tau \cdot E(R_{DT}) \cdot w_{DT}$  “tax-adjusts” the WACC.

# Almost Done!

- ▶ OK, we will just rewrite this a little,
- ▶ Let us express the tax-adjusted WACC in terms of its components—that is, not in terms of FM, but in terms of DT and EQ.
  - ▶ Check:  $40\% \cdot 16.5\% + 60\% \cdot 9\% = 12\%$ .

## Expand ERFM and Rearrange

$$\begin{aligned} & E(R_{FM}) - \tau \cdot E(R_{DT}) \cdot w_{DT} \\ &= 12\% - 30\% \cdot 9\% \cdot 60\% \\ &= 10.38\%. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= w_{EQ} \cdot E(R_{EQ}) + w_{DT} \cdot E(R_{DT}) \cdot (1 - \tau) \\ &= 40\% \cdot 16.5\% + 60\% \cdot 9\% \cdot (1 - 30\%) \\ &= 10.38\%. \end{aligned}$$

# Final WACC Formula

- ▶ The WACC-adjusted present value is

$$\frac{E(CF)}{1 + [w_{EQ} \cdot E(R_{EQ}) + w_{DT} \cdot E(R_{DT}) \cdot (1 - \tau)]}$$



# WACC Special Zero-Tax Case

- ▶ If the corporate tax-rate is zero, our new WACC formula collapses to the PCM WACC formula.
  - ▶ The non-tax-adjusted WACC is not in practical use,
  - ▶ but every CFO is familiar with *and uses* the WACC formula with the tax-adjustment;
  - ▶ (and maybe half of them even do so correctly.)

# Comparison of Tax Methods I

Situation	Method	Cash Flow Used	CoC	Value
PCM	WACC	\$280	12.00%	not comparable
ICM	Flow-To-Equity	\$280 - \$24.00	12.00%	not used
		<b>\$280 - \$20.24</b>	12.00%	\$259.8/1.12
ICM	WACC	\$256	<b>10.38%</b>	\$256/1.1038
ICM	APV	<b>\$256 + \$3.76</b>	12.00%	\$259.8/1.12

# Comparison of Tax Methods II

All three methods have the same goal:

- ▶ Flow-To-Equity means “go through pro-formas.”
- ▶ APV and WACC adjust *as-if-fully-taxed* cash flows.
- ▶ The results should be (roughly) the same.

All three serve their purpose and can be useful.

# Comparison Footnotes

- ▶ I prefer Flow-To-Equity, then APV, then WACC.
- ▶ WACC and APV add a tax subsidy for debt to a hypothetically *fully-taxed* firm.
- ▶ For long-lived firms, methods give slightly different numbers (due to  $E(r)$  & debt path).
- ▶ Mostly, all are ok, but:

**Avoid *double-counting* mistakes!**

# Double-Counting Mistakes

- ▶ \$259.75 already contains the tax benefit:
  - ▶ Never discount the \$259.75 by the tax-adjusted WACC of 10.38%.
  - ▶ Never add the tax-shelter of \$3.36, as in the APV calculation, to the \$259.75.
- ▶ Use tax adjustments only on \$256.
  - ▶ Never on current cash flows in WACC or APV, *unless* the firm happens to be 100% equity. There must be no *interest payments* in the IS.

# A Quick Tax Savings Formula

# One-Time Tax Saving

- ▶ If your firm levers up by \$1 billion **for one year**, roughly how much will you be saving in corporate income tax?

# Forever Tax Savings

- ▶ If your firm levers up by \$1 billion **forever**, roughly how much will you be saving in corporate income tax?



# WAZOO

- ▶ Why don't firms lever up to the *wazoo*?

# Investment & Financing Decisions

- ▶ Are investment and financing decisions still **separate** in a world with corporate taxes?
- ▶ That is, can you first consider projects and worry about financing later?

# Other Corporate Tax Shelters

- ▶ NOLs,
- ▶ Leasing,

# Transfer Pricing

- ▶ Transfer pricing across countries — most of Google's assets are in Ireland.
  - ▶ where they were developed (right!?),
- ▶ Is Dell a U.S. company?
- ▶ Debt tax sheltering works but is not as effective as other shelters, especially if revenues are foreign.
- ▶ Transfer pricing is usually more effective.